

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/079,241	02/20/2002	Holly Hogrefe	25436/2155	7186
27495 7590	10/25/2004		EXAMINER .	
PALMER & DOD	-		HUTSON, R	ICHARD G
KATHLEEN M. WI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02			1652	

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/079,241	HOGREFE ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard G. Hutson	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three materials are determined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. ☑ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 September 2004</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	d within the period s of the appeal.	set forth in			
2. $oxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:					
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment			
.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the proposed amendment of the prop	$\operatorname{at}(s)$ a) \boxtimes will not be entered or $\operatorname{at}(s)$	b)⊡ will be entered low or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 64-87.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		,			
10. Other:		Will the	5			
		Richard G Hutson Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/079,241

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendment will not be entered because it would introduce a number of new issues which would require further consideration and or search. Specifically applicants proposed amendment to claims 67, which recites "wherein said mutant Archael DNA polymerase is a mutant Pfu DNA polymerase, said mutant Pfu DNA polymerase does not contain a mutation at D405" is a new issue that would require further search and result in a new 112 first pararaph rejection based on new matter. Further applicants proposed amendment of claims 68, 69, 71, 73, 86, 89-94 each introduce new issues that at the very least have not yet been searched.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record remain in light of the non-entry of applicants proposed amendment, as applicants arguments are based on the proposed amendments of the claims.